**Mock Trial Rubric Group members:**

**Task:** Students work in teams to research their role within in a court of law utilising the judicial process found in Australian law courts.Students will perform a mock trial representing a particular perspective from Roald Dahl’s spin on three different traditional fairy tales**.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Excellent** | **Very good** | **Satisfactory** | **Partial** | **Minimal** |
| **Preparation and research** | Witness statement fully developed, consistent with historical record, accurately performed, Attorney questions relevant, logical and clear; questions properly formed and delivered. | Witness statement well developed, fairly consistent and accurately performed. Attorney questions relevant, logical and clear most of the time. | Witness statement adequately developed and reasonably consistent and performed to a satisfactory standard. Attorney questions were relevant and logical. | Written work and or performance showed a lack of preparation. | Very little written work, minimal or 0 questions posed. Technique was unclear and illogical. |
| **Voice** | Easily understood; excellent us of rate, volume and intonation. | Understood most of the time; good use of rate, volume and intonation. | Audience could understand the speaker; attempted using rate, volume and intonation to engage the audience. | Not easily understood, inconsistent use of rate, volume and intonation. | Too brief, the audience had difficulty understanding the speaker. |
| **Eye contact** | Establishes excellent eye contact for the situation and setting | Establishes appropriate eye contact most of the time. | Some eye contact given during performance. | Little eye contact established throughout performance. | Does not establish eye contact. |
| **Authenticity** | Seems very real; excellent use of body and facial expression; words and gestures match;  well adapted to setting; appropriate costume. | Believable; adequate use of body and facial expression; fairly well adapted to setting. | Satisfactory adequate use of body and facial expression; fairly well adapted to setting. | Needs to be more convincing. | Poor use of body language – lacked preparation and |
| **Courtroom Decorum** | Excellent interaction with Justices and attorneys; stays in character and performs role successfully. | Very good interaction with others most of the time and performs role successfully. | Appropriate interaction with others most of the time. Had a reasonable understanding of the role. | Difficulty remaining in character. | Distracted, inappropriate behaviour. |

**Mock Trial Peer assessment Group members:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Excellent** | **Very good** | **Satisfactory** | **Partial** | **Minimal** |
| **Preparation/research** | Excellent questions and witness statement. | Very good questions and witness statement. | Satisfactory questions and witness statements. | More work needed. | Group were not ready to perform. |
| **Voice** | Very clear and loud enough | Clear and loud enough. | Good attempt. Volume varied. | Not easily understood. | Audience could not hear the speaker. |
| **Eye contact** | Excellent eye contact throughout. | Very good eye contact most of the time. | Some eye contact given. | More eye contact needed. | No or very little eye contact given. |
| **Authenticity** | Excellent body language. | Very good body language. | Satisfactory body language | Needs to be more convincing. | Lacked practice. |
| **Courtroom Decorum** | Excellent performance stayed in character. | Very good performance – stayed in character for most of the performance. | Satisfactory performance. Understood the role. | Difficulty remaining in character. | Distracted, inappropriate behaviour. |